<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Final Score</th>
<th>Comments Final</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1) Evaluation objectives provide a clear statement of what the evaluation seeks to accomplish. Objectives can be detailed further in specific evaluation questions. The evaluation should also demonstrate how the objectives follow from the purpose.</td>
<td>MEETS</td>
<td>Purpose and objectives clearly stated; no evaluation questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2) The evaluation report describes mechanisms and measures that were implemented to ensure that the evaluation process conformed with relevant ethical standards including but not limited to informed consent of participants, privacy and confidentiality considerations.</td>
<td>MISSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1) The terms of reference (ToR) clearly describe the evaluation’s intended scope and focus –either by defining the main evaluation questions, and/or listing main objectives. The ToR specify evaluation criteria to be used given the evaluation’s objectives and scope, particularly the OECD/DAC criteria (relevance, efficiency, effectiveness, sustainability and impact).</td>
<td>MISSING</td>
<td>NO reference to UNFPA or National Strategic Plans/Goals beyond observation that GBV is ‘exploding’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2) Assessment of programme/project relevance examines the degree to which the outputs/outcomes are in line with national needs/priorities, UNFPA priorities, and relevant to stakeholders.</td>
<td>MISSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3) Assessment of effectiveness examines the extent to which a programme/project achieves its planned results (outputs and outcomes)</td>
<td>MEETS</td>
<td>Assesses output achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4) Evaluation assesses efficiency, linking outputs to expenditures/resources and assessing whether this occurred as economically as possible.</td>
<td>MISSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5) Sustainability assesses the extent to which programme/project results are likely to continue/remain after termination of external assistance</td>
<td>BELOW</td>
<td>Sustainability not assessed but indirectly referenced in report with regard to income generating activity and community participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6)</td>
<td>Impact assesses positive and negative long-term effects which may be economic, socio-cultural, institutional, environmental, technological or other effects.</td>
<td>MISSING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1a</td>
<td>Methods are valid i.e. focused on and logically linked to evaluation objectives and questions. 3.1b Methods are valid i.e. focused on and logically linked to evaluation objectives and questions. 3.1c Efforts to control bias and/or acknowledge limitations due to uncontrolled bias are implemented and described.</td>
<td>MEETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1)</td>
<td>Consideration is given to stakeholder involvement and report is clear about rationale for and level of stakeholder involvement. Involvement may include participating in design (questions/objectives, methods, data collection instruments), collecting data, analyzing data, or developing recommendations, and other roles as appropriate for the evaluation under consideration.</td>
<td>BELOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1)</td>
<td>Where relevant, evaluation assesses extent to which data disaggregated by gender were used for planning and assessing programme/project and extent to which programme/project promoted gender mainstreaming.</td>
<td>MEETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1)</td>
<td>Findings/Results are analyzed in terms of outcomes or impacts, including cost analyses, and reasons for accomplishments/difficulties are identified and supported by analysis.</td>
<td>BELOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1)</td>
<td>Conclusions flow logically from and are supported by evaluation findings and address issues of significance to the programme/project as scoped by the evaluation questions/objectives.</td>
<td>BELOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1)</td>
<td>Recommendations are supported by data analyses, findings and conclusions, are clearly stated and include with specific details of who is recommended to do what by when. Where relevant, stakeholders have been involved in formulating recommendations.</td>
<td>MEETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1) Executive Summary is concise, readable and can stand alone without reference to the rest of the report.</td>
<td>Apparent Exec Summary lacking recommendations and thus unable to stand alone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>